DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

(Under 37 CFR 3 1.63; includes reference to PCT International Applications)

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP

File No.:

MAR 2 6 2003

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

R 1600/29

MEM	'ECH CENTE
My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to	my name.
I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention ENTITLED: LAD specification of which ☐ is attached hereto ☐ was filed on ☐ as ☐ United State PCT/NZ00/00197, with amendments through (if applicable, give details).	below) of the subject matter NTIBIOTIC, the es ☐ PCT Application No.
I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.	-identified specification,
I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the United States Patent and Trademarknown to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal R	rk Office all information Regulations, § 1.56.
I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code § application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or of any PCT International applicatione country other than the United State of America listed below and have also identicate application for patent or inventor's certificate or any PCT International applications country other than the United States of America filed by me on the same subject mathefore that of the application(s) on which priority is claimed:	tion(s) designating at least ified below any foreign designating at least one
Prior Foreign/PCT Application(s) [list additional applications on separate page]:	
Country (or PCT)Application Number:Filed (Day/Month/Year)New Zealand50026112 October 1999	Priority Claimed: <u>Yes</u> <u>No</u> X □
I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States app	lication listed below:
(Application Number) (Filing Date)	
I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code § 120 of any U or PCT international application(s) designating the United States of America that is/a as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in that/t the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code § 112, I a disclose to the United States Patent and Trademark Office all information known to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56 which became filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of	are listed below and, insofar those prior application(s) in acknowledge the duty to me to be material to me available between the
Prior U.S. (or U.Sdesignating PCT) Application(s) [list additional applications on separate page]:
U.S. Serial No.: Filed (Day/Month/Year) PCT Application No. Status (natented	nending shandoned)

pending, abandoned) 12 October 2000 PCT/NZ00/00197 Pending

I hereby appoint , Registration No. , and Frommer Lawrence & Haug LLP, or their duly appointed associate, my attorneys, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application, to make alterations and amendments therein, to file continuation and divisional applications

Page 1 of 2

FLH Docket No.

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY (Under 37 CFR § 1.63)

thereof, to receive the Patent, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office and in the Courts in connection therewith, and specify that all communications about the application are to be directed to the following correspondence address:

, Esq. c/o FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP 745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151

Direct all telephone calls to: (212) 588-0800

to the attention of:

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

INVENTOR(S):		
Signature:	Date:	25/11/02
Full name of sole or first inventor.		4
JOHN ROBERT TAGG		
Residence: 39 Braeview Crescent, Dunedin, New Zealand		
Citizenship: Australia		
Signature: Kalen P. Dierksen	Date:	Oct. 11, 2002
Full name of 2nd joint inventor (if any):		•
KAREN PATRICIA DIERKSEN		
Residence: c/o- 3450 SW Campus Way, Corvallis, OR 97331-8539,		
United States of America		
Citizenship: United States		
Signature: Mt	Date:	10/9/02
Full name of 3rd joint inventor (if any):		7 (
MATHEW UPTON		
Residence: 9 Rolton Avenue, Fast Didsbury, Manchester M10 1RP Unit	ed Kinador	n

Post Office Address(es) of inventors [if different from residence]:

Citizenship: Britain

NOTE: In order to qualify for reduced fees available to Small Entities, each inventor and any other individual or entity having rights to the invention must also sign an appropriate separate "Verified Statement (Declaration) Claiming [or Supporting a Claim by Another for] Small Entity Status" form [e.g. for Independent Inventor, Small Business Concern, Nonprofit Organization, Individual Non-Inventor].



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

TAGG et al.

Atty. Ref.: 512585-2001

Serial No.

09/913,763

Filed:

كأمين وموفع

12 October 2000

Examiner: Michael V Meller

For:

LANTIBIOTIC

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

DECLARATION

MAR 2 6 2003

)0

Sir:

地區數

I, John Robert Tagg, do hereby declare and state that:

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

- I am an Australian citizen and live in Dunedin, New Zealand. 1.
- 2. I am a Professor of Microbiology at the University of Otago, Leith Street, Dunedin, New Zealand. I am a scientific consultant to Blis Technologies Limited and my brief curriculum vitae is attached as Exhibit 1.
- 3. I am an inventor of the above-identified patent application.
- I have read the Office Action on this application dated 14 November 2002 and each of the citations referred to in that report.
- I advise that we have obtained and sequenced a structural gene of a variant Salivaricin B protein from Streptococcus mitis. The variant exhibits a single amino acid change from arginine to histidine at residue 13. The sequence comparison is shown as follows:

GGTGGTGGAGTAATCCAAACCATTTCACACGAATGTCGTATGAACTCATGGCAGTTCTTGTTTACTTGTTGCTCTTAA K12 6 6 6 IQTISHECRMSSWQFL

G C V I Q T I S H E C H M N S N Q F

07:34

2

- 6. I further confirm that the variant exhibits substantially the same profile of activity as the Salivaricin B protein obtained from streptococcus K12. The activity profile is provided in Exhibit 2. Comparison is made with a Salivaricin B producing K12 equivalent. The Salivaricin B encoded by K12 and this variant is the same. The nucleotide sequences differ only in the codons for Arg in position 13. K12 has CGC and the reference strain has CGT. The reference strain was used because, unlike K12, it produces only Salivaricin B, not Salivaricin A as well. For comparative purposes Salivaricin A production needed to be excluded.
- 7. It is also my belief that variants of this short 25 amino acid sequence can be synthesised (for example, as taught in Ross et al, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, Vol 59, No. 7, July 1993, pp 2014-2021; and Wakamiya, T. et al.; Nisin and Novel Lantibiotics, Jung, G. and Sahl, H-G eds, ESCOM, London, pp 189-203), and the activity readily determined using the activity detail provided in the specification accompanying the present application. Synthesising, deletion, insertion, and substitution variants is within the capacity of a skilled worker in this area.
- 8. I have also read each of the five citations referred to by the Examiner. I do not believe that the peptide presently claimed, nor the organisms producing same, are described in any of these citations for the following reasons:
- (i) Caufield et al. (US 5,872,001) discloses a 27 amino acid sequence which is matched against SEQ ID NO:3 as follows:

Tags SEQ ID NO:3 1 __GGGVIQTISHBCRMINSWQFLFTCCS 25
Cautfield SEQ ID NO:8 25 CGGSCVIHTISHBCNMNSWQFYFTCCS 51

The two sequences as compared in this way have less than 76% identity. There is nothing in this document which teaches or motivates the production of the present 25 amino acid antibacterial protein or variants of it.

(i) Indeed, a person working in this field based on what was known would have assumed that the N-terminal amino acid sequence in Caufield was essential to function. The importance of the N-terminus can be seen in Jack and Tagg, 1991, Nisin and Novel Lantibiotics, Jung, G. and Sahl, H-G eds, pp 71-179, ESCOM, Leiden and Chan et al.,

07:34

, 1.

3

1993, J. Biochem 291: 23-27. The former teaches a lantibiotic SA-FF22 and a variant thereof as follows:

The variant with the first four amino acids missing has no biological activity.

The latter reference teaches a naturally occurring subtilin (a lantibiotic) with a succinylated N-terminus. This variant was also reported to have appreciably lower antibacterial activity than the unsuccinylated sequence. Accordingly, the expectation for the Caufield sequence would have been that removing or altering the N-terminus sequence would have destroyed or reduced activity of the sequence. A worker in this field would therefore have been motivated to maintain the full N-terminus sequence, rather than to produce an N-terminal truncated variant.

- (ii) The Ross publication teaches Salivaricin A protein obtained from Streptococcus salivarius 20P3. This is a 51 amino acid pre-peptide which is cleaved to give a biologically active 22 amino acid residue. This peptide has a distinct length, molecular weight, sequence and properties. It is bacteriostatic rather than bacteriocidal. Accordingly, this reference teaches a different protein, with different activity, from a different strain of microorganism.
- (iii) Based on my knowledge of Streptococcus salivarius strains, I can advise that of some 780 S. salivarius strains tested to date, to my knowledge only 1.54% produce the antibacterial protein having SEQ ID NO:3 or a protein having greater than 80% identity with same.
- (iv) As taught in the Tagg et al. paper (of which I am an author), of the disclosed 1450 S. salivarius strains, 45% of them have been shown to be BLIS positive, and 12 different BLIS types have been identified. These microorganisms therefore exhibit significant diversity in the proteins that they produce. It is not inherent that any Streptococcus salivarius will produce the protein presently claimed. Given that only 1.54% of the 780 strains tested to date have been shown to produce the protein presently claimed, it is highly unlikely that any given S. salivarius strain will produce the presently claimed protein. Moreover, the analysis presented in the Tagg paper is based on a study of a non-public S. salivarius library.

07:34

4

- The Sanders et al. paper discloses S. salivarius strain K58. This is a (v) different strain from K12 in the present specification. The antibacterial disclosed as being produced is enocin with a molecular weight of less than 200 Da. This is likely to be 2 to 3 amino acids. In comparison, our protein has a molecular weight of 2733 Da. It is a 25 amino acid protein. There is no similarity between these sequences. Enocin is a very much shorter molecule with entirely different properties.
- Matsushiro et al. discloses the production by S. salivarius strains of the enzyme dextranase and claims that this strain may impact on dental caries by reducing the glucan (water-insoluble polymer) component of plaque, thus leading to lessened plaque accumulation. There is no suggestion anywhere at all of bacterocin or BLIS activity by the strain. So, it is a mechanism of potentially reducing the levels of plaque and, indirectly of therefore reducing the levels of dental caries/associated bacteria by limiting the accumulation of water-insoluble polymer in dental plaque. There is no description of any BLIS production, let alone the protein we presently claim which achieves targeted killing of bacteria. The patent does not identify either a relevant BLIS producing strain, or BLIS produced by same, nor how to identify such a strain or protein.
- Kawai et al. (US 4,710,379) discloses organisms which can be used to stimulate the growth of useful lactic acid microorganisms in the gut. What is described are anti-carriogenic or anti-periodontic effects associated with some bacteria. There is no mention of S. pyogenes as a target, nor is there any characterisation of inhibitory agents. Most importantly, they make no mention of S. salivarius. The bacteria they list is "Lactobacillus salivarius" which is a member of an entirely different genus of bacteria (gram-positive rod shaped), whereas streptococci are gram-positive coccus-shaped. The bacterial species are therefore quite different. Not only is no S. salivarius disclosed, there is no teaching or isolation of any proteins, let alone a BLIS protein or the specific protein of the present invention.
- (viii) In conclusion, none of the references cited contains a description of the organisms of the present application, the protein of the present invention, nor any indication as to how to find the strains or proteins which exhibit the antibacterial properties of SEQ ID NO:3.

07:34

5

I declare that all statements herein of my wn knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful and false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Attachment: Curriculum Vitae